

# Memory of Navigation in Individuals with Varying Social Anxiety: A Virtual Reality EEG Study

**Junyuan Zheng (mljuyhgt@gmail.com)**

Institute of Collaborative Innovation, University of Macau  
Avenida da Universidade, Taipa, Macau SAR

**Xuanji Chen (mc46540@um.edu.mo)**

Institute of Collaborative Innovation, University of Macau  
Avenida da Universidade, Taipa, Macau SAR

**Haiyan Wu (haiyanwu@um.edu.mo)**

Institute of Collaborative Innovation, University of Macau  
Avenida da Universidade, Taipa, Macau SAR

## Abstract

**While social exploration and spatial navigation share overlapping cognitive processes, how social anxiety influences navigation behavior, memory, and neural activity in immersive VR environments with social feedback remains unclear. This study combines VR and EEG to examine how individuals with varying social anxiety levels navigate virtual spaces under socially rewarding (e.g., positive facial feedback) or punishing conditions. Participants searched for landmarks in VR while receiving token rewards/punishments and feedback from strangers. Analysis of navigation paths, curiosity, and anxiety revealed correlations, and EEG data showed improved memory performance over time. Notably, high socially anxious individuals displayed altered hippocampal-prefrontal connectivity and frontal alpha asymmetry during social threat exposure. These findings advance understanding of socio-spatial neural mechanisms and potential therapies for social anxiety.**

**Keywords:** Spatial Navigation; Social Interaction; Social Anxiety Disorder; Memory; EEG

## Introduction

Spatial navigation is fundamental to human behavior, enabling us to reach destinations, explore new environments, and return to familiar places. This cognitive skill depends on processing spatial information, forming cognitive maps, and making navigational decisions. Neuroscience has identified key brain regions such as the hippocampus (O'Keefe & Dostrovsky, 1971), parietal cortex, and prefrontal cortex (Baumann & Mattingley, 2021), alongside neuronal cell types like place cells (O'Keefe & Dostrovsky, 1971) and grid cells (Hafting, Fyhn, Molden, Moser, & Moser, 2005), which together form the spatial navigation system. Beyond physical navigation, humans also navigate complex social environments—a skill termed social navigation—that involves understanding and anticipating the actions of others (Riedl & St. Amant, 2003; Tavares et al., 2015). This ability relies on additional neural mechanisms in areas like the prefrontal cortex and temporoparietal junction (Tavares et al., 2015; Nejadi et al., 2023).

Social anxiety can significantly impact cognitive functions, potentially affecting both spatial and social navigation abilities (Wong & Rapee, 2016; Kállai, Karádi, & Feldmann, 2009). Individuals with high social anxiety often exhibit heightened sensitivity to social cues and may experience difficulties in spatial memory and attention, especially under social circumstances (Schofield, Johnson, Inhoff, & Coles, 2012).

Recent studies, combined with advances in neuroimaging and virtual reality (VR), have driven progress in both spatial and social navigation research. Despite a growing understanding of these domains, the impact of social anxiety on navigation patterns, memory, and the underlying neural mechanisms—particularly in the context of social interactions and feedback in navigational tasks—remains vital for further investigation. Therefore, this research focuses on exploring differences in navigation patterns among individuals with varying levels of social anxiety (Kállai et al., 2009), examining how rewards and punishments during spatial exploration shape navigation strategies and motivation (Garvert, Saanum, Schulz, Schuck, & Doeller, 2023), and investigating how positive or negative social feedback during social navigation influences an individual's ability and willingness to navigate and memorize, as well as their cognitive and neural responses (Tavares et al., 2015; Wagner et al., 2023; Liang et al., 2024). By employing VR and potentially EEG, the study aims to deepen our understanding of how social interactions affect navigation, cognitive abilities, and neural signals, potentially paving the way for innovative clinical treatments for social anxiety and related conditions.

## Methods

In conjunction with the content shown in the figure (see1), a total of 60 participants were recruited for the experiment (ages 18 to 36, with a mean age of approximately 22.6 years). All participants were right-handed, had normal or corrected-to-normal vision, and reported no cognitive impairments. Prior to the experiment, participants completed standardized questionnaires to assess spatial ability and anxiety levels (e.g., SAS, LSAS, SBSOD), and resting-state EEG data were collected (sampling rate: 500 Hz) using a 32-channel mbt Smart-

ing PRO system, with electrodes placed according to the international 10–20 system.

Before the formal experiment, participants underwent a pre-testing phase designed to familiarize them with the use of physical manipulatives and the Memory Sorting Test. This phase also included training on joystick-based navigation within an immersive virtual environment to help participants adapt to the control method.

In the subsequent formal testing phase, participants entered an immersive virtual environment that integrated spatial memory formation and social feedback. The environment was divided into six blocks, each consisting of six trials, with an equal number of spatial and social tasks. However, the task setups differed: in the spatial task, the interaction target was a box (with a flower as the target item), whereas in the social task, the interaction target was a virtual character. Both types of tasks included reward and punishment conditions. Participants were instructed to obtain as many rewards as possible and reach the navigation goal in the shortest possible time.

During the experiment, derived behavioral metrics such as navigation trajectory, instantaneous speed, pause behavior, and trajectory entropy were automatically recorded. In addition, participants' self-reported anxiety and willingness scores were collected. EEG data, recorded continuously throughout the experiment, underwent standard preprocessing procedures including noise and artifact removal. The data were then segmented into task-specific epochs corresponding to different navigation phases (approaching, interacting, and leaving the field), and categorized based on task type (spatial vs. social) and reward/punishment conditions. Time-frequency analysis was performed using the Morlet wavelet transform, focusing on the oscillatory activity of alpha (8–12 Hz) and theta (4–7 Hz) frequency bands.

After finishing the navigation session, participants completed an arrangement task to assess their construction of virtual spatial memories where they needed to re-arrange the right position of specific object on the 2D map based on the VR task. On the second day, they completed an online arrangement task and a subjective rating task assessing the arousal and valence of the interactive objects. Additional questionnaires on anxiety and perceived spatial ability were also completed.

## Results

In the ERP analysis, three task-related epochs (approach, interaction, and leave) were examined across four conditions (reward/punishment  $\times$  spatial/social tasks), revealing a significant main effect on social and spatial conditions during the approach phase ( $p < 0.05$ , Figure 2c1, 2c2), though no significant results were observed in the left and right temporoparietal junctions (ITPJ: CP5, P7, P3; rTPJ: CP6, P4, P8) or prefrontal cortex (IFC: F3, F7, FC5; rFC: F4, F8, FC6). Time-frequency analysis (TFA) using Morlet wavelet transform on the same epochs and conditions identified significant neural activity in Delta (0.5–4 Hz), Alpha (8–13 Hz), and Beta (13–30

Hz) bands, with notable Beta wave activation across reward conditions and Theta wave activation at 1–4 seconds in interaction phases (Figure 2d, graphs A1, A2, C4), highlighting differences in neural responses to reward/punishment and spatial/social stimuli (Figure 2a, 2b).

In memory-related results (see figure 3), participants' recognition accuracy of scene stimuli (e.g., buildings, cases, passers-by) improved across experimental blocks, accompanied by faster self-evaluation reaction times and increased confidence ratings. Correlation analysis revealed a significant negative relationship between LSAS anxiety scores and confidence self-ratings during memory recall ( $\rho = -0.41$ ,  $p = 0.0013$ ) and a positive relationship with rating time ( $\rho = 0.37$ ,  $p = 0.0037$ ), suggesting that socially anxious individuals were less confident and more hesitant.

During the recognition task, we found that the accuracy of participants' memory for cases was negatively correlated with theta band power in the left frontal cortex during interactions with reward-associated cases in the navigation session ( $\rho = -0.57$ ,  $p = 0.004$ ) and positively correlated with alpha band power in the same region during interactions with punishment-associated boxes ( $\rho = 0.38$ ,  $p = 0.0236$ ), which might indicate functional specificity of different frequency bands of prefrontal activity in motivational learning.

Further, self-confidence ratings after memory tasks were negatively correlated with alpha-band power in the left frontal cortex across conditions involving spatial punishment ( $\rho = -0.55$ ,  $p = 0.0043$ ), implicating left frontal alpha power as a neural marker of confidence in memory retrieval.

## Discussion

This study utilized a spatial pathfinding task with virtual interactions (cases or pedestrians) to administer rewards, aiming to explore the relationship between anxiety, memory, navigation behavior, and neural activity via EEG. Social anxiety, measured by the Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale (LSAS), impairs spatial navigation by diverting cognitive resources, leading to longer pause times and slower speeds, especially in social contexts (Geer, Barroso, Conlon, Dasher, & Ganley, 2024; Kállai et al., 2009). This cautious behavior extends from social decision-making to navigation tasks (Saul, He, Black, & Charles, 2022). Unlike other anxiety scales, LSAS uniquely correlates with navigation outcomes, suggesting specific aspects like fear of evaluation play a key role (Amir, Elias, Klumpp, & Przeworski, 2003; Baker, Heinrichs, Kim, & Hofmann, 2002). In contrast, the Santa Barbara Sense of Direction Scale (SBSOD) better predicts spatial abilities in object recognition, indicating distinct cognitive processes (Hegarty, Richardson, Montello, Lovelace, & Subbiah, 2002; Farran et al., 2022), though LSAS links to self-rated memory, reflecting self-evaluation biases (Holas, Kowalczyk, Krejtz, Wisiecka, & Jankowski, 2023).

EEG results suggest that heightened theta oscillations may reflect cognitive effort directed toward reward-driven strategy adjustment (e.g., resolving prediction errors or updating

reward expectations), which could compete with neural resources required for memory encoding. While enhanced inhibitory control mechanisms (e.g., suppressing distractors or emotional interference) that facilitate focused attention on spatial details, thereby improving memory consolidation. These insights clarify social anxiety's impact on spatial behavior and propose future interventions, like reducing social cue interference in navigation.

## Acknowledgments

This work was mainly supported by the Science and Technology Development Fund (FDCT) of Macau [0127/2020/A3, 0041/2022/A, 0112/2024/RIA2], the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province(2021A1515012509), Shenzhen-Hong Kong-Macao Science and Technology Innovation Project (Category C) (SGDX2020110309280100), and the MYRG of University of Macau (MYRG2022-00188-ICI).

## References

- Amir, N., Elias, J., Klumpp, H., & Przeworski, A. (2003). Attentional bias to threat in social phobia: facilitated processing of threat or difficulty disengaging attention from threat? *Behaviour research and therapy*, 41(11), 1325–1335.
- Baker, S. L., Heinrichs, N., Kim, H. J., & Hofmann, S. G. (2002). The liebowitz social anxiety scale as a self-report instrument: a preliminary psychometric analysis. *Behaviour research and therapy*, 40(6), 701–715.
- Baumann, O., & Mattingley, J. B. (2021). Extrahippocampal contributions to spatial navigation in humans: A review of the neuroimaging evidence. *Hippocampus*, 31(7), 640–657.
- Farran, E. K., Hudson, K. D., Bennett, A., Ameen, A., Misheva, I., Bechlem, B., & Courbois, Y. (2022). Anxiety and spatial navigation in williams syndrome and down syndrome. *Developmental neuropsychology*, 47(3), 136–157.
- Garvert, M. M., Saanum, T., Schulz, E., Schuck, N. W., & Doeller, C. F. (2023). Hippocampal spatio-predictive cognitive maps adaptively guide reward generalization. *Nature Neuroscience*, 26(4), 615–626.
- Geer, E. A., Barroso, C., Conlon, R. A., Dasher, J. M., & Ganley, C. M. (2024). A meta-analytic review of the relation between spatial anxiety and spatial skills. *Psychological Bulletin*.
- Hafting, T., Fyhn, M., Molden, S., Moser, M. B., & Moser, E. I. (2005). Microstructure of a spatial map in the entorhinal cortex. *Nature*, 436(7052), 801–806.
- Hegarty, M., Richardson, A. E., Montello, D. R., Lovelace, K., & Subbiah, I. (2002). Development of a self-report measure of environmental spatial ability. *Intelligence*, 30(5), 425–447.
- Holas, P., Kowalczyk, M., Krejtz, I., Wisiecka, K., & Jankowski, T. (2023). The relationship between self-esteem and self-compassion in socially anxious. *Current Psychology*, 42(12), 10271–10276.
- Kállai, J., Karádi, K., & Feldmann, (2009). Anxiety-dependent spatial navigation strategies in virtual and real spaces. *Cognitive processing*, 10(Suppl 2), 229–232.
- Liang, Z., Wu, S., Wu, J., Wang, W. X., Qin, S., & Liu, C. (2024). Distance and grid-like codes support the navigation of abstract social space in the human brain. *Elife*, 12, RP89025.
- Nejati, V., Mardanpour, A., Zabihzaheh, A., Estaji, R., Vaziri, Z. S., & Shahidi, S. (2023). The role of prefrontal cortex and temporoparietal junction in interpersonal comfort and emotional approach. *Scientific Reports*, 13(1), 21636.
- O'Keefe, J., & Dostrovsky, J. (1971). The hippocampus as a spatial map: preliminary evidence from unit activity in the freely-moving rat. *Brain research*.
- Riedl, M. O., & St. Amant, R. (2003). Social navigation: Modeling, simulation, and experimentation. , 361–368.
- Saul, M. A., He, X., Black, S., & Charles, F. (2022). A two-person neuroscience approach for social anxiety: A paradigm with interbrain synchrony and neurofeedback. *Frontiers in psychology*, 12, 568921.
- Schofield, C. A., Johnson, A. L., Inhoff, A. W., & Coles, M. E. (2012). Social anxiety and difficulty disengaging threat: Evidence from eye-tracking. *Cognition & emotion*, 26(2), 300–311.
- Tavares, R. M., Mendelsohn, A., Grossman, Y., Williams, C. H., Shapiro, M., Trope, Y., & Schiller, D. (2015). A map for social navigation in the human brain. *Neuron*, 87(1), 231–243.
- Wagner, I. C., Graichen, L. P., Todorova, B., Lüttig, A., Omer, D. B., Stangl, M., & Lamm, C. (2023). Entorhinal grid-like codes and time-locked network dynamics track others navigating through space. *Nature communications*, 14(1), 231.
- Wong, Q. J., & Rapee, R. M. (2016). The aetiology and maintenance of social anxiety disorder: A synthesis of complementary theoretical models and formulation of a new integrated model. *Journal of affective disorders*, 203, 84–100.

