1 2

3

4

5

6

Voxel-wise Encoding of Visual and Social Meaning During Silent Movie Viewing in Deaf and Hearing Participants.

Maria Zimmermann^{1,2}, Marcin Szwed³, Leyla Isik¹, Marina Bedny¹

(1) Johns Hopkins University, (2) University of Warsaw, (3) Jagiellonian University

Abstract

In deaf individuals, higher auditory regions such 7 8 as the superior temporal cortex are thought to be 9 repurposed for visual processing. In previous 10 study we showed that these regions are recruited 11 for processing rich visual meaning during silent 12 films (Zimmermann et al., 2024). To investigate 13 which specific features drive this reorganization, 14 we applied a voxel-wise encoding model to fMRI 15 data from deaf and hearing participants as they 16 watched a silent animated movie.

17 The model included a range of visual, social, and 18 affective features. It significantly explained 19 variance across the whole brain in both groups, 20 revealing patterns consistent with prior findings. 21 In regions that showed differential intersubject 22 synchronization between groups, we observed 23 higher prediction performance scores (R²) in deaf 24 participants. This was particularly evident for 25 social interaction features in the right superior 26 temporal sulcus (STS) and Theory of Mind 27 features in the right posterior STS. These findings 28 suggest that reorganization in the temporal 29 cortex in deaf individuals may reflect an 30 expansion of nearby visual and social feature 31 representations into formerly auditory regions.

32

Background

33 Deaf individuals rely on vision to interpret the world, 34 drawing from a rich and dynamic visual environment. 35 To support this shift, the brain appears to reorganize, 36 with auditory regions adapting to process visual input. 37 Prior work has shown that parts of the temporal 38 cortex-typically involved in hearing-can support 39 low-level visual perception such as motion tracking 40 (Benetti et al., 2021) as well as higher-level functions 41 like visual working memory (Cardin et al., 2018). 42 However, it remains unclear what types of visual 43 information these reorganized regions represent.

45 In a previous study (Zimmermann et al., 2024) we 46 used a silent film to explore this question in 47 naturalistic conditions. They found that higher-order 48 auditory areas in deaf individuals responded to 49 complex visual content, with stronger right-50 hemisphere engagement for low-level features. 51 Building on this, we applied a voxel-wise encoding 52 model to fMRI data from deaf and hearing participants 53 viewing a silent film, modeling brain responses to a 54 range of visual, social, and affective features.

Method

56 Participants.

55

62

73

57 Twenty-five deaf participants and twenty-two hearing 58 participants watched a 35-minute silent animated film 59 (*The Triplets of Belleville*) in the fMRI scanner. The 60 film contained no language, and the soundtrack was 61 removed.

63 Feature Annotation.

64 We automatically extracted low-level visual 65 properties, including motion energy and HSV color 66 components. Three raters labeled each 4-second (3 67 TRs) segment for the presence of faces, social 68 interactions, number of people, arousal, and valence. 69 Theory of mind content was assessed by three 70 additional raters on longer (~20 TR) segments by 71 indicating whether the events elicited reasoning about 72 others' mental states.

74 Encoding Model.

To relate brain activity to specific movie features, we
trained a voxel-wise encoding model to estimate beta
weights linking the annotated features to fMRI BOLD
responses. We used linear banded ridge regression
with a nested cross-validation scheme (NunezElizalde et al., 2019). The model was implemented
using Himalaya package (Dupré La Tour et al., 2022)

44

Results

83 Visual, social, and affective features accounted for 84 variance in brain responses across both deaf and 85 hearing participants. In both groups, each feature engaged regions associated with its representation in 86 87 prior work. Specifically, consistent with prior research, 88 social interaction features were represented in the 89 right superior temporal sulcus (STS) (Lee Masson & 90 2021), Theory of Mind in the lsik, right 91 temporoparietal junction (TPJ) and precuneus (Saxe 92 & Kanwisher, 2003).



94Figure 1. Winner-takes-all maps showing the top predictive
feature (visual, social, affective) in each voxel in both
groups. Maps include only voxels with significant prediction
scores (R²) in the full model (p < 0.001, uncorrected).</td>115116
117

98 We next examined whether higher-order 'auditory'
99 regions showing differential synchronization between
100 deaf and hearing individuals—identified in a previous
101 ISC analysis (Figure 2)—also showed differences in
102 feature representation across groups.



103

104 Figure 2. Group differences in intersubject correlation (ISC)

105 -Deaf > Hearing during silent movie watching (p < 0.001, uncorrected).

107 In the anatomical location of higher order 'auditory' 108 regions, for the deaf group, we observed higher 109 explained variance for features typically represented 110 in neighboring superior temporal cortex. Deaf 111 individuals showed greater variance explained by 112 social interaction features in the right superior 113 temporal sulcus (STS), and stronger representation 114 of Theory of Mind in the posterior STS.



Figure 3. Features showing differential representation in the deaf and hearing groups: social interaction and Theory of Mind (A) Voxels showing significantly higher R² in the deaf group than in the hearing group across the whole brain, p<0.01 uncorrected. (B) Group and ROI averaged performance scores (R²) for social interaction and Theory of Mind within voxels showing between group difference in ISC (Fig.2)

Conclusion

124

125 Using a voxel-wise encoding model during silent 126 movie viewing, we found higher prediction 127 performance (R²) in deaf individuals within regions 128 that showed differential intersubject synchronization 129 between groups. Specifically, social interaction and 130 Theory of Mind features explained more variance in 131 deaf participants within higher-order auditory regions. 132 These findings are consistent with the possibility that, 133 in the absence of auditory input, visual and social 134 processing may extend into nearby regions of the 135 superior temporal cortex that are typically associated 136 with auditory functions.

82

References

138 139 140 141	Benetti, S., Zonca, J., Ferrari, A., Rezk, M., Rabini, G., & Collignon, O. (2021). Visual motion processing recruits regions selective for auditory motion in early deaf individuals. <i>NeuroImage</i> , 230, 117816.
142 143 144 145 146 147	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.117816 Cardin, V., Rudner, M., De Oliveira, R. F., Andin, J., Su, M. T., Beese, L., Woll, B., & Rönnberg, J. (2018). The Organization of Working Memory Networks is Shaped by Early Sensory Experience. <i>Cerebral Cortex</i> , <i>28</i> (10), 3540–3554. https://doi.org/10.1002/cerear/bw/222
148 149 150 151	https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhx222 Dupré La Tour, T., Eickenberg, M., Nunez-Elizalde, A. O., & Gallant, J. L. (2022). Feature-space selection with banded ridge regression. <i>NeuroImage</i> , <i>264</i> , 119728. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2022.119728
152 153 154 155 155 157	 Lee Masson, H., & Isik, L. (2021). Functional selectivity for social interaction perception in the human superior temporal sulcus during natural viewing. <i>NeuroImage</i>, 245, 118741. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.118741 Nunez-Elizalde, A. O., Huth, A. G., & Gallant, J. L. (2019). <i>Voxelwise</i> encoding models with non-spherical multivariate normal
157890 155890 1601 160345 165	 Saxe, R., & Kanwisher, N. (2003). People thinking about thinking peopleThe role of the temporo-parietal junction in "theory of mind." <i>NeuroImage</i>, <i>19</i>(4), 1835–1842. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1053-8119(03)00230-1 Zimmermann, M., Cusack, R., Bedny, M., & Szwed, M. (2024). Auditory areas are recruited for naturalistic visual meaning in early deaf people. <i>Nature Communications</i>, <i>15</i>(1), 8035.
166	https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-52383-6